UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
STUART FORCE individually and as personal representative of the ESTATE OF TAYLOR FORCE, et al., Plaintiffs,	Case No. 1:20-cv-2578-BMC
-against-	
QATAR CHARITY; QATAR NATIONAL BANK and MASRAF AL RAYAN, Defendants.	
CHAIM DOV PRZEWOZMAN, et al.,	
Plaintiffs,	Case No. 1:20-cv-6088-NGG-RLM
-against-	
QATAR CHARITY; QATAR NATIONAL BANK and MASRAF AL RAYAN, Defendants.	
ANNE CHANA HENKIN, individually and as personal representative of the ESTATE OF JUDAH HERZEL HENKIN, et al., Plaintiffs,	Case No. 1:20-cv-5716-AMD-VMS
-against-	
QATAR CHARITY; QATAR NATIONAL BANK and MASRAF AL RAYAN, Defendants.	Served on April 1, 2022
X	

DECLARATION OF PATRICK J. McARDLE

- I, Patrick J McArdle, declare pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746 subject to penalties of perjury, as follows:
- 1. I have read the Complaints in the above-captioned actions and respectfully offer the Court the following information based on my professional experience.
- 2. My professional experience spans 25 years in regulatory compliance, consulting, and law enforcement. As a Partner at Guidehouse Consulting's Global Investigations and

Compliance practice, I specialize in Bank Secrecy Act/Anti-Money Laundering ("BSA/AML") and sanctions compliance, fraud prevention and detection, and forensic accounting investigations. Prior to this, I served as a Senior Special Investigator for Enforcement at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (FRBNY) for more than a decade.

- 3. A large part of my career involved assessing banking compliance programs, performing forensic audits, and leading investigations involving U.S. Dollar transactions and remittances from and to foreign countries. At Guidehouse, I served for several years as co-lead of a Monitorship team chosen by federal and local prosecutors and state regulators to oversee a large international financial institution with significant OFAC sanctions violations. I was specifically tasked with the validation of the institution's global AML transaction monitoring and sanctions programs in accordance with a deferred prosecution agreement. Throughout my time in consulting, I have also performed risk assessments and compliance program gap analyses for several major financial institutions and large money service businesses, all of which required a fundamental understanding of how international U.S Dollar transfers are monitored and resolved.
- 4. While serving at the FRBNY, I conducted numerous complex investigations and official inquiries pursuant to the Federal Reserve's supervisory obligations of domestic and foreign financial institutions. My findings were often the basis for various enforcement actions involving violations of bank regulations, illegal activities, and suspicious transactions. As a Senior Special Investigator, my work constantly involved deep dives into the global movements of U.S. dollar transactions between financial institutions, requiring me to possess a thorough understanding of correspondent banking, domestic and foreign financial regulations, and financial crime risk. In addition, I was tasked the collating responses to subpoenas from Federal, State and Local prosecutors regarding wires processed by the Fedwire Transfer system. The subpoena

responses frequently required me to provide a brief lesson in how the U.S. payment system works.

5. My C.V. is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

I. Nearly all U.S. dollar-denominated payments are effectuated through U.S. banks

6. Electronic Funds Transfers ("EFTs"), or wire transfers, of funds denominated in U.S. dollars, wherever they originate and wherever their ultimate destination, are generally processed with settlement finality¹ in the U.S., through a U.S. bank. *See Banque Worms v. BankAmerica Int'l*, 77 N.Y.2d 362, 370 (1991). In practice, nearly all such transfers are processed in New York:

Wholesale wire transfers are generally made over the two principal wire payment systems: the Federal Reserve Wire Transfer Network (Fedwire) and the [Clearing House Interbank Payment System ("CHIPS")]...These funds are transferred through participating banks located in New York because all of the banks belonging to the CHIPS network must maintain a regulated presence in New York.² As a result, this State is considered the national and international center for wholesale wire transfers.

Id. (citation omitted).

7. CHIPS, a private payment clearing system located in the U.S. (New York), is the predominant wire payment system utilized to this day for international wires/payments in U.S. dollars. *See* The Clearing House, "CHIPS Public Disclosure of Legal Governance, Risk Management, and Operating Framework" (June 2020) *available at*

¹According to the Bank for International Settlements ("BIS"), settlement finality is defined as "the irrevocable and unconditional transfer of an asset or financial instrument, or the discharge of an obligation by the [payment system] or its participants in accordance with the terms of the underlying contract." BIS, "Principles for Financial Market Infrastructures" (2012), at 64, *available at* https://www.bis.org/cpmi/publ/d101a.pdf. "[P]ayment finality is critical to the process of decentralized exchange." Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta, "The Economics of Payment Finality" (2002), at 11, *available at* https://www.frbatlanta.org/-/media/documents/research/publications/economic-review/ 2002/vol87no2_kahn-roberds.pdf.

²In 1998, after this ruling, CHIPS dropped the requirement that a participating bank must maintain a presence in New York. Now banks need only to maintain a presence somewhere within the U.S. to become a participate in CHIPS. *See* Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council, "BSA/AML Manual, Funds Transfers" *available at* https://bsaaml.ffiec.gov/manual/RisksAssociatedWithMoneyLaunderingAndTerroristFinancing/08 ("CHIPS is owned by banks, and any banking organization with a regulated U.S. presence may become a participant in the system.").

https://www.theclearinghouse.org/-/media/new/tch/documents/payment-

systems/chips_public_disclosure_june_2020.pdf (last accessed Mar. 24, 2022) ("It has been estimated that CHIPS carries a very high percentage of all international interbank funds transfers that are denominated in U.S. dollars...CHIPS is primarily used to clear and settle the U.S. dollar leg of international wire payments. Based on data from the fourth quarter of 2019, approximately 70% of payments that clear and settle through CHIPS originate outside the U.S."); Fed. Reserve Bank of N.Y., "About the New York Fed: CHIPS" (April 2002), available at https://www.newyorkfed.org/aboutthefed/fedpoint/fed36.html#:~:text=The%20Clearing%20Ho use%20Interbank%20Payments%20System%20(CHIPS)%20is%20an%20electronic,checks%2 Owith%20electronic%20bookkeeping%20entries (last accessed Mar. 17, 2022) ("CHIPS estimates that it handles 95 percent of all U.S. dollar payments moving between countries."); The Clearing House, "PNC Bank Joins CHIPS, the Largest Private Sector US Dollar Clearing System" "https://www.theclearinghouse.org/payment-(March 02, 2021), available at systems/articles/2021/03/03-02-2021_pnc_bank_joins_chips (last accessed Mar. 25, 2022) ("CHIPS is the largest private sector USD clearing and settlement system in the world, settling an average of \$1.7 trillion in payments per day on a comparatively small funding base...CHIPS is the premier USD clearing platform for international payment activity, with approximately 95% of CHIPS payments having a cross-border leg.").

8. "Processing" of U.S. dollar-denominated EFTs or wire transfers involves "clearing" and "settling" transactions, which in effect transfers credit from transaction originator to beneficiary without having to physically move assets such as banknotes, securities, or gold bullion. For banking institutions, wire transfers involve a series of steps where debts are extinguished and created by the mutually indebted banks involved in the respective payment chain

in a process called correspondent banking. *See* BIS, "Correspondent Banking" (July 2016), at 9, *available at* https://www.bis.org/cpmi/publ/d147.pdf (last access Mar. 17, 2022).

- 9. During the clearing step of processing a dollar-denominated EFT, participating banks primarily send and receive payments through CHIPS, which matches and sets off these transfers to reduce the number of ultimate transfers of debt that must be processed between the banks. *See* CHIPS, "About Chips," *available at* https://www.theclearinghouse.org/payment-systems/chips (select "How It Works") (last accessed on Mar. 17, 2022).
- 10. CHIPS settlements are ultimately resolved between U.S. banks using the Fedwire, a real-time gross payment settlement system administered by the U.S. Federal Reserve. See The Federal Reserve, "Fedwire Funds Service," available at https://www.frbservices.org/financial-services/wires (last accessed on Mar. 24, 2022). Accordingly, these balances are paid across the balance sheet of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (acting in its Central Bank role as the lender of last resort for all cross-border electronic funds transfers denominated in U.S. dollars).
- 11. Foreign banks with no physical U.S. presence, like defendants Qatar National Bank and Masraf Al Rayan Bank, cannot directly participate in CHIPS or Fedwire. Only a few dozen banks currently have met the requirements to directly participate in CHIPS, and all of them must, by CHIPS's rules, have a U.S. presence. *See* U.S. Dep't of the Treasury, Financial Crimes Enforcement Network, *Feasibility of a Cross-Border Electronic Funds Transfer Reporting System Under the Bank Secrecy Act* (Oct. 2006), App. D, at 62, *available at* https://www.fincen.gov/sites/default/files/shared/CBFTFS_Complete.pdf ("Access to the CHIPS payment system is conditional upon a financial institution's U.S. presence. In other words, the financial institutions using CHIPS must operate a U.S. branch or office for the use of the system.").
 - 12. These banks are among the "relatively small number of major money center

banks"—largely based in New York for U.S. dollar transfers—that "specialize in facilitating international funds transfers through their network of correspondent relationships, and thus form a key link in the vast majority of all international funds transfers." *Id.* at 57. *See also Sigmoil Res.*, *N.V. v. Pan Ocean Oil Corp. (Nigeria)*, 234 A.D.2d 103, 104 (1st Dep't 1996) ("New York banks play an important role" in the "entire system of correspondent banking").

- 13. Non-U.S. banks access CHIPS or Fedwire through correspondent bank accounts, which are "accounts in domestic [U.S.] banks held in the name of the foreign financial institutions." *Sigmoil Res.*, 234 A.D.2d at 104 (1st Dep't 1996). In this scenario, the non-U.S. banks, known as the respondent banks, do not have direct access to the U.S. dollar-based financial markets, and instead rely on U.S. banks to maintain correspondent accounts to transact in U.S. Dollars on their behalf. *See* BIS, "Correspondent Banking" (July 2016), at 9, *available at* https://www.bis.org/cpmi/publ/d147.pdf (last access Mar. 17, 2022).
- 14. So when a customer with an account at a non-U.S. bank wishes to transfer U.S. dollars to another person with an account at another non-U.S. bank, the originating customer's bank will instruct its U.S. correspondent bank to transfer funds (via Fedwire or CHIPS) to the U.S. bank where the beneficiary's non-U.S. bank has a correspondent account. Even if these two non-U.S. banks are located a few feet from each other in a foreign country, this U.S. dollar payment ordinarily must clear through the U.S. and ultimately settle across the balance sheet of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

II. The U.S. dollar-denominated transfers conducted on behalf of Oatar Charity through Masraf Al Rayan Bank discussed in the Complaints were almost certainly settled in the U.S.

15. As noted above, I have reviewed the Complaints in these actions, each of which describes transactions involving the transfer of funds from a Qatar Charity account at Masraf Al Rayan in Doha, Qatar to Qatar Charity accounts at the Bank of Palestine or the Islamic Bank in

Ramallah.

- The Complaints' description of these interbank transactions is consistent with the 16. manner in which a transaction involving U.S. dollars would typically operate. Generally, when a customer (the originator) of a non-US bank (the originating or respondent bank) initiates an EFT in US dollars to be transferred to an account held by the same or another individual (the beneficiary) at another non-US bank (the beneficiary bank), the originating bank must first always engage with a U.S. banking institution, either directly or through intermediary banks, where it or an intermediary bank maintains its US correspondent banking account. The US correspondent bank will then transact on behalf of the originating bank in U.S. dollars with the beneficiary bank's (or intermediaries') US correspondent bank to transfer credit from the originator to beneficiary through Fedwire or CHIPS. Finally, the beneficiary bank receives that credit directly or through intermediary banks from the US correspondent bank for deposit into the beneficiary account. Even if the originating and beneficiary banks were branches of the same bank in different foreign jurisdictions, as long as the transaction is in U.S. dollars it would still have to first clear and settle through in the U.S. as a matter of normal process before reaching its ultimate destination with the beneficiary.
- 17. The U.S. Dollar is the predominant currency for foreign exchange ("FX") transactions, accounting for approximately 88% of global FX transactions in April 2019 and has consistently remained at this share level of global FX transactions for the past 20 years. *See* Federal Reserve System, "The International Role of the U.S. Dollar" (last updated Oct. 06, 2021) available at https://www.federalreserve.gov/econres/notes/feds-notes/the-international-role-of-the-u-s-dollar-20211006.htm (last accessed on Mar, 21, 2022). Accordingly, if the transactions in question were FX transactions for U.S. Dollars rather than EFTs conducted via correspondent

banking, the settlement of these transactions would still involve a large financial institution domiciled in the United States or with a country's central bank who then would ultimately settle with the U.S. Federal Reserve. Essentially, the central inclusion of U.S. based institutions for settlement in these transactions would not change.

- 18. With Masraf Al Rayan Bank initiating the U.S. dollar-denominated transfers for Qatar Charity as discussed in the Complaints, these funds were almost certainly settled and cleared in the U.S. (New York) by U.S. banks on behalf of Masraf Al Rayan Bank for the funds to reach Qatar Charity's accounts at the Bank of Palestine or the Islamic Bank in Ramallah. Thus, despite the defendants' location outside of the U.S., their actual transfers involved settlement within the borders of the U.S.
- 19. Multinational commercial banks, like Masraf Al Rayan and Qatar National Bank also certainly understood the fundamental banking concept that international transfers in U.S. dollars must first clear and settle through U.S. based correspondent financial institutions. In fact, Qatar National Bank advertises on its website that it holds U.S. correspondent bank accounts at The Bank of New York Melon, JP Morgan Chase Bank NA, Wells Fargo Bank NA, Standard Chartered Bank (New York), and Citibank NA. See QNB Finansbank "Nostro Account" available at https://www.qnbfinansbank.com/en/popup-en/nostro-account (last accessed on Mar. 24, 2022). The purpose of these accounts is certainly for access to the U.S. financial markets for U.S. dollar clearing. It then follows that Qatar National understood that U.S. dollar transfers conducted on behalf of Qatar Charity would pass through the U.S. as a matter of process. Masraf Al Rayan offers its clients services and products that allow them to transact in other currencies, including the U.S. Dollar. See Masraf Al Rayan, "Tariffs and Charges Retail" at 4, available at https://www.alrayan.com/Library/Assets/Gallery/Files/Masraf-Fees-and-Charges-Retail.pdf

(last accessed on Mar. 25, 2022). Masraf Al Rayan uses Citibank, NA as its correspondent or agent to complete international payments using CHIPS. *See* The Clearing House, "UID Lookup" *available at* https://www.theclearinghouse.org/uid-lookup (search UID 442777 and click into the Masraf Al Rayan search result) (last accessed on Mar. 25, 2022). Therefore it is logical that Masraf Al Rayan also understood that the U.S. dollar transfers conducted on behalf of its clients would pass through the U.S. as a matter of process.

20. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in New York, New York on March 25, 2022.

Patrick J. McArdle